Approved Fee Release 20 (\$105) CIA-RDP78B0427A001500010008-7

13 September 1963

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

SUBJECT: Staff Study - A Review of the Step-and-Repeat Contact Printer Contract

REFERENCE: (a) P&DS Staff Study re Step-and-Repeat Printer dated 15 April 1963

(b) P&DS Report on Pre-Shipment Inspection of Precision 3X-6X-12X Enlarger, dated 29 August 1963

25X1A

25X1A

1. PROBLEM: To determine whether the present with 25X1 for the development of a Step-and-Repeat Contact Printer, adequately comprehends the ultimate purposes for such a development, and consequently, whether the contract should be continued.

2. FACTS:

- a. The requirements for the development of the subject printer have been reviewed and verified to be as follows:
 - (1) An ultra-high resolution (more than 300 lines/mm high contrast) highly-automated, roll film contact printer is urgently needed.
 - (2) The capability of printing individual isolated exposures within a roll is needed.
 - (3) The capability of printing multiple copies of various, individual isolated exposures within a roll is needed.
 - (4) Accommodation of film widths from 70mm to $9\frac{1}{2}$, single frame lengths up to 50" and roll lengths up to 1000 ft. is needed.
 - (5) Accommodation for both color and black-and-white printing is needed.
 - (6) Automatic exposure control and dodging is desired.
 - (7) Arbitrary superimposition of a reseau is required by GIMRADA.
 - (8) Immediate application is required. All these requirements have been independently satisfied on various existing printers. The

DECLASS REVIEW by NIMA/DOD

Approved For Release 2003/05/15 : CIA-RDP78B04747A001500010008-7

present objective is to regroup these parameters in a single instrument. No requirements for advances in the state-of-the-art have been levied. It is therefore anticipated that the prototype will be a serviceable device.

25X1A 25X1A	C-V FF Sabmito oca by all except
25X	(1A (2) CPFF CPFF CPFF
25X1A	* Note: At the TDC meeting the proposal was promul- 25X1A gated as "Fixed Price" rather than CPFF by reference (a), however, it was later determined by to be CPFF as is indicated above. The proposal was confusing on this point but it is not felt that the bidder can be held accountable for this misunderstanding.
25X1A 5X1 5X1	c. GIMRADA does not desire to contract their Step-and-Repeat Printer with They do not wish to cause this Center embarrassment, but have expressed the desire to withdraw from the joint procurement agreement with if this is feasible. However, GIMRADA is still very interested in this development and desires to utilize the rapid administrative processing facilities of the Center, to the extent that they would go along with the contract if no other course is open to them.
25X1A	d. The pre-delivery inspection of the 3X-12X Precision Enlarger developed for NPIC by as reported by reference (b) indicates that significant discrepancies exist between the desired and the finished product. Since it was difficult to ascertain whether these discrepancies were caused by poor communications from the design objectives and the contract monitoring standpoints or by negligence on the part of the contractor, a number of previous developments contracted to were investigated. A 25X1A resume of the results of these investigations follows:
!5X1	(1) 70mm Coding Copy Camera and Code Searching Reader Viewer. This development involved the modification of the standard 70mm "Planetary" Copy Camera to include a means for adding an identifying code block to the side of the copy picture; and the development of a 70mm Viewer-Printer which is instructed by IBM cards to locate a given code and present the corresponding picture on the viewer; at which time a Q&D copy print of the variety can be made if desired.
25X1A	(a) Agency: USNPIC (b) Approx. date: July 61 - Jan. 63 (c) Cost:

Approved F Release 2003/05/15 CA-RDP78B04 A001500010008-7

Evaluation: This development was intended to produce a system for testing the feasibility of a concept; consequently, the proposal which appeared to adequately cover the basic requirements at the lowest cost was selected. It was anticipated that refinement would be lacking and that considerable technical direction would be required. This proved to be the case, however, after several pre-delivery inspections and several debugging visits by the contractor, an operating system was achieved.

(2) Electrostatic Plate Coating Machine. This machine was developed for the purpose of applying a zinc-oxide resin-based coating to aluminum plates in order to render them capable of holding a photosensitive static charge. It also included a device for developing the static electric image into an ink receptive matrix for subsequent multilith reproduction.

(a)	Agency:	GIMR/	ADA	
(b)	Approx.	date:	1959	
(c)	Cost:			

25X1A

25X1A

Evaluation: This was obviously a simple development, however, it did involve some unknowns. The mechanical engineering was felt to be poor. corrected all the major deficiencies in the equipment without additional cost to the Government. The overall evaluation of the contract was "good."

(3) 4X Continuous Printing Enlarger. This development consisted of a fixed 4X optical enlarger fitted with scanning systems to synchronize the input 70mm film and the output 9" film, so that a roll of 70mm film could be continuously enlarged to a roll of 9" film.

(a.)	Agency:	NPIC	for	A۱	/IS	
(b)	Approx.	date:	Jur	ıе	196	2
	Cost:					

Evaluation: This contract was handled by NPIC for AMS. AMS representatives feel that the device is not a good solution to the problem in that its performance lacks the necessary precision for a satisfactory reproduction. This is manifested in a differential scale in the direction of scan compared to that of the optical system. The variations in scan velocity which cause this are not significant enough to degrade the image resolution below the specified 20 lines/mm, however, it alters the photo geometry so that mensuration is impaired. AMS reps were careful to point out that this deficiency could have resulted from inadequate statements of the requirements rather than negligence on the part of the contractor. The general evaluation of the instrument was "Fair."

Approved F Release 2003/05/35: CIA-RDP78B04747A001500010008-7

25X1A 25X1A	e. 3X-12X Precision Enlarger. The purpose of this instrument is to fill the gap between the VG-1 and the 10-20-40 enlargers. The performance of these two instruments best defines the type of performance required for this development. Existing enlargers such as the do not have the basic performance nor structural rigidity to be modified into a suitable instrument. There may be other makes such as the Saltzman which are subject to modification, however, each would have to be thoroughly analyzed and some compromises would be certain. The most significant requirements for the instrument are:
5X1	(1) Performance in resolution and illumination equal to or better than the VG-1. The general figure of 180 lines/mm was stated in the proposal.
	(2) 70mm - 9" x 250 ft. roll film handling capability including ability to center the image and motorized film transport.
	(3) The enlarger should be auto-focus and at least as easy as the $VG-1$ to operate.
	The corresponding administrative data is as follows:
	(a) Agency: NPIC (b) Date: delivery imminent (c) Cost: Original verbal proposal Written proposal Contract Contract and Overrun
25X1A	Evaluation: This contract has had two monitors, through most of the development and in the pre-delivery inspection. On examin-25X1A ation of the records it was ascertained that the statement of the requirements for this device were quite brief, considering the performance desired;
25X1A 25X1A 25X1A	however, it is felt that was aware of the fact that the performance was to be comparable with the VG-1 and 10-20-40 enlargers. The explicit 25X1A evaluation of the device by may be found in reference (b). The major discrepancies appear to be:
	(1) Inadequate support of the enlarger head and film spools.
	(2) Inadequate structual rigidity in the device as a whole.
	(3) Poor design of the easel both in the vacuum hold-down and the means for achieving and maintaining flatness.
	(4) A general lack of the precision that is expected for a high performance instrument.

	for some time. There is some question as to whether or not this is a valid line of development. For this reason has been instructed to conduct a study for the purpose of defining parameters relevant to the requirements for contact duplication and the performance limits of the existing techniques.	!5X1
	3. <u>CONCLUSIONS</u> :	
25X1A	a. There is evidence that part of the difficulty in the Center's contractual relationships with is due to the lack of clearly defined, comprehensive, written design objectives. Small corporations with correspoidingly small engineering staffs generally need more specific guidance.	
•	b. It is probable that there is also a breakdown in communications during the monitoring phase. It appears that some of the deficiencies noted on the 3X - 12X enlarger might have been discovered and corrected at the design stage.	
25X1A	c. The general character of the projects investigated does not indicate that has had experience in developing or producing equipment of the same precision as that of the enlargers.	
	d. The cost of the developments investigated generally matches the qualtiy of the product. It appears that the Government invariably got what it paid for.	
25X1A	e. Continuation of the Step-and-Repeat Printer contract with under the present circumstances would be unwise. The lack of specific design objectives, the possibility that the precision and complexity required is beyond the capability and the questionable benefits of the concept all tend to jeopardize the successful completion of the contract.	5X1 <i>i</i>
	4. RECOMMENDATIONS:	
	a. That, whenever possible, formal written design objectives be prepared. In some cases this is not practical, but in those instances where the contractor's performance is unknown or has demonstrated the need, this document is indespensible.	
	b. Contract monitors be reminded of the necessity for frequent, compre-	

c. Potential contractors be carefully evaluated with respect to the levels of invention, precision and complexity required and those which have been previously demonstrated by the contractor.

hensive contact with the contractor, the requirements and the ultimate user.

d. Cost of a development be weighed against the level of quality attainable under the economic restraints imposed. CPFF contracts should generally be limited to developments requiring advances in the state-of-the-art.

Approved For Release 2003/05/15 CIA-RDP78B0474ZA001500010008-7

	e. Step-and-Repeat Printer contract with be terminated on the basis of "the best interest of the Government," pending further discussions with	K1 A
25X1A	a re-evaluation of the concept and possibly the results of the study. After this has been done, if the requirement is still determined to exist, then design objectives should be prepared and a representative group of qualified contractors should be invited to propose.	K1 A
	25X1A	